

**PATENT**

USPTO

**Certificate of Mailing:** I hereby certify that this paper (along with any referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop ~~100~~ **FEE Amendment**, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on:

(date of deposit) 7/11/05

Executed on (date) 7/11/05

Terry L. Miller  
Terry L. Miller

**Applicant: Joseph Pressutti**

**Serial No.: 10/647,989**

**Conf. No. 2495**

**Filing Date: 08/26/2003**

**Title: FOLDED HIGH-PROFILE  
RIDGE COVER, AND  
METHOD OF MAKING**

**EXAMINER: KATCHEVES, BASIL**

**Atty Docket No.: 2003-010**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  
AND PETITION FOR REFUND OF TIME EXTENSION FEE**

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicant hereby petitions the Commissioner for a three-month extension of time for responding to the Office Action dated 11 January 2005 in the above-identified application, extending the allowed period for response from 11 April 2005 to and including 11 July 2005.

Our check in the amount of \$510.00 to cover the fee for the extension of time for three-months is attached.

Further to the above, it is noted that the Office Action of 11 January 2005 was never received by the undersigned, and was discovered during the week of 7/4/2005 through 7/8/2005 only because the undersigned was checking status for several pending applications on the USPTO on-line data base.

Accordingly, because it appears from the non-receipt of the Office Action in the present application, in combination with several other items of evidence in the possession of the undersigned, that the USPTO was experiencing Mail Room problems at least during the latter part of 2004, as well as during the early part of 2005, this present mailing should also be considered as a petition for a refund of the time extension fee provided herewith.

07/19/2005 HVUONG1 00000002 10647989

01 FC:2253

510.00 OP


Moreover, the undersigned is aware of a similar Mail Room error in USSN 11/005,337 (document mailed by USPTO in February 2005 to the wrong law firm), and in USSN 10/601,284 (document mailed by the USPTO in January 2005 to a Corporate Law Department rather than the correct law firm). It is noted in the former instance, of USSN 11/005,337 that an advisory letter was sent to the Commissioner's Office, but was never responded to in any way by the USPTO.

Also, in the latter instance, of USSN 10/601,284, the Corporate Law department which received the incorrect mailing from the USPTO was kind enough to simply return the documents to the USPTO with an advisory memo.

Accordingly, if this request for a refund of the time extension fee is not honored by the USPTO, a formal petition for refund will be submitted by the undersigned, including photocopies of the examples of USPTO Mail Room errors mentioned above.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 7/11/05



Terry L. Miller  
Registration No. 29,568

Law Office of Terry L. Miller & Associates  
24832 Via San Fernando  
Mission Viejo, Ca 92692